

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. BAHTIN, M. M. *Chelovek v mire slova* [A man in a world of word]. Moscow: Russian Open University. 1995. ISBN 96127679; 139 p.
2. CHUVAKIN, A. A. *Teoria teksta* [Theory of the text]. Moscow: Flinta: Nauka. 2010. ISBN: 978-5-9765-0841-5; 270 p.
3. EFREMOVA, T. F. (2010). *Sovremennyj slovar' russkogo jazyka* [Modern dictionary of Russian Language]. Retrieved from <http://www.efremova.info>
4. GALPERIN, I. R. *Tekst kak ob'ekt lingvisticheskogo issledovaniya* [Text as an object of linguistic research]. Moscow: URSS, 2019, ISBN 978-5-484-00854-4
5. GILMORE, A. Authentic materials and authenticity in foreign language learning. *Language teaching*, 2007, 2(40), 97-118.
6. HALEEVA, I. I., KASUK, A. Ya., & KONEV, V. N. Duhovnoe obrazovanie v usloviyah global'nyh vyzovov XXI veka [Spiritual education in conditions of global challenges in the XXIst century]. In *Universitety v evrazijskom obrazovatel'nom prostranstve* [Universities in Eurasian educational space] (pp. 335-345). Moscow, 2017.
7. KARASIK, V. I. *Jazykovaja spiral': cennosti, znaki, motivy: monografiya* [Language scroll: values, signs, motives]. Volgograd: Paradigm. 2015

BREXIT SAGA'S IMPACT OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. BREXIT LANGUAGE OF NEGOTIATION

IMPACTUL BREXIT-ULUI ASUPRA LIMBAJULUI ENGLEZ. LIMBAJUL BREXIT-ULUI ÎN NEGOCIERI

*Olga Covaliova, lector univ.,
UPS „Ion Creangă” din Chișinău
Olga Covaliova, university lecturer,
SPU “Ion Creangă”, Chișinău
Ecaterina Ruga, doctor, lector univ.,
Institutul Internațional de Management „IMI-NOVA”, Chișinău
Ecaterina Ruga, PhD, university lecturer,
International Institute of Management “IMI-NOVA”, Chișinău
Natalia Hioară, lector univ., ASEM, Chișinău
Natalia Hioară, university lecturer, AESM, Chișinău
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6573-0838*

CZU 811.111'37

Rezumat

Cuvântul "Brexit" a prins viață într-un timp foarte scurt. În curând a devenit un cuvânt obișnuit. Pentru cei care încă nu au devenit adepți înrăiți ai Brexitului e necesar de atenționat că există două tipuri de Brexit – doar simplu cuvânt Brexit și cel ce e legat de Brexit ca fenomen politic. "Grexit" care a anticipat apariția cuvântului Brexit. "Grexit" a fost propus de economiștii din "City Trays" în februarie 2010. Autorul Brexitului, D-l Peter Wilding, adept al Uniunii Europene regreta crearea acestui cuvânt (Britain + exit = Brexit), ce a dus la apariția cuvintelor Bremain, Bregret, Remainer, Leaver care au fost automat introduse în dicționare prestigioase. Autorii studiului cercetează lexicul negativ, pozitiv și neutru ce determină inteligența și calitatea (care adesea lasă de dorit) pe perioada Brexitului.

Cuvinte-cheie: "Grexit", Remainer, Leaver, Brexit, februarie 2010, Peter Wilding.

Abstract

The word “Brexit” has quickly shot into everyday use. It became as inevitable in British discussions as the word “sorry or commentary on weather”. But for those who aren’t yet fully Brexituasted, there exists some interesting parallels between “Brexit” as a word and “Brexit” as the political phenomenon. Another word “, Grexit” which proceeded “Brexit” was used for the first time by a City group economists in February 2019. It referred to the possibility of Greece leaving eurozone.

The Remainer, Mr. Peter Wilding, (who voted to remain in the EU – the rest are called Leavers, coined “Brexit” (Britain +exit) described it as “another sad word” worked on European policy under Cameron. Now, he regrets creating the word. Later there appeared a lot of new words like “Bremain”, “Bregret”, “Remainer”, “Leaver”. Our purpose is: 1) to investigate the new negative lexicon, the neutral and the positive in the period up to 25-31 December 2020, 2) to determine the quality and reliability of the Protocol; 3) to reveal the audacious (Ro.: obraznic) violation of the Brexit agreement in the immediate post-Brexit period; 4) to determine the specific negotiation Brexit lexicon.

Key-words: Brexit Saga start, June 23rd 2016, 52%, 48%, referendum withdrawal

In contradiction to certain people, war and negotiation are not polar opposites or necessarily an either proposition, they are complementary to each other. During a long period of time of history they are undermarked from each other, and additionally, view negotiation as a form of warfare/ conflict.

Over the centuries, human negotiative behaviors, rituals, approaches have evolved in form, adapting to shifts and changes in the surrounding social, biological, political, cultural, and economic environment. The human brain itself, which has increased in size over centuries, is due in part to an increased use of negotiative processes. The scientists in the domain of negotiation consider the change in the behaviors of human biology, physiology, and language appeared in the process of managing and dealing with the increased complexity of social and political affairs and the need to process and deal with those interactions.

People living in more dense cities, there appeared a great need to be able to defect and protect themselves from deceptions and threats and be prudent in their own dealings. The reaction/response to the change nature and demands of social (political) relationships there were involved the continued language and communication skills.

The natural negotiative behaviors and rituals do not only exist with the human species: all animal species exhibit rudimentary forms of protection through behavior, oral patterns, signals in order to manage internal group tensions and conflicts and to coordinate protective defense against external threats.

Outcome: Humans undoubtedly have accumulated:

- 1) a higher level of apprehension / intelligence;
- 2) the ability to think conceptually;
- 3) an advanced language skills, at core,
- 4) an innate biological instinct to survive (both men and other species);
- 5) a developed cooperative protocol (Darvin, Charles, “The expression of Emotions” ...)

Many reject negotiation

Most people like the idea of cooperating in theory, finding the actual practice of compromise to be far more problematic. Thus ambivalence has shadowed the negotiative processes throughout history. Regardless of culture, conflict management practitioners (mediators) oftenly cannot afford to minimize the emotional depth of people’s historical processes in their understanding.

These are four Primary Sources of Resistance:

1. **The “fight-fight” syndrome:** a neurochemical release in brain (under threatening) to either withdraw and avoid situation, or fight back; no trigger (Ro.: a impulsiona, a activa) to negotiate. Negotiation is a secondary response, which requires a) an effort feel, b) conscious and intentional decision to engage the threat alternatively;

2. **Cultural Sources of Resistance to Negotiation:** the ability (psychological) of a person in conflict must overcome the initial impulse, minimize such inclination by training and experience and consider a compromise. Not even experienced negotiators are immune to this bit/element “predictable human irrationality”. Accepting compromise, the people enter a dispute of being right, justified in their position considering that the compromise is akin (similar) to “giving up” and “selling out” their principles .

3. **The balance is hazardous / critical:**

From the evolutionary perspective on the one hand – human irrational commitment, determination and dedication to a belief has proven helpful in accepting many ideas. On the other hand, if dedication/commitment that lapses into stubbornness/inflexibility and intransigence/rigidity can lead to faulty judgments and poor decisions

4. **Psychological Sources of Resistance to Negotiation:**

For many inveterate or deep rooted rationalists who believe there is a correct answer to a problem or a proper resolution for a complex issue negotiation or mediation seems unnecessary, obligates an unjustified compromise and denial of facts, thus participation in negotiation is viewed as a derogation of the truth.

5. **Negotiation began to be approached as a science**

Over the course of the last 20 years the legal landscape has changed significantly with mediation and negotiation being far more prominent in law school curricula and language practice.

Summation: Presupposing the practice of negotiation has effectively begun in the last 50 years seriously compromises the dynamic development of negotiation that has preceded practice in the present day, and continues to directly influence that practice.

- The way the people negotiate and mediate conflicts reflects strategies and techniques that have been cultivated over many centuries
- Past tactics a simply dismissed as primitive and outmoded, still they continue to be displayed with regularity. Some of them have gone extinct because a new practice style or approach has been announced
- Negotiation will almost always include an element of survival and the fear and ambivalence of being played for a fool
- Negotiation, done well, as in the case with Brexit. **“The Deal is Done!”** also requires strategic thinking a measure of deception and the necessity of game playing.
- Future negotiator will be obligated to learn how to anticipate and how to take into account their own and other parties “Predictable irrationalities” suited to deal with the complexity of modern day conflicts.

Predictable irrationalities

Brexit deal negotiation has explicitly demonstrated one of the most vivid example of (human) irrationality that is minimizing the importance of carefully assessment and analysis (if so) of the conflict terrain and methodical consideration of available options when two sides of Brexit Deal signed the Agreement on Christmas. When Boris John and the UK negotiator Mr. Frost were celebrating the protocol with Northern Ireland there occurred the unhappiness – due to an article “*EU threatens legal action against the UK –again – over Northern Ireland deal*” written by Silvia Amaro, Thu.March 4th, 2021. It describes the violation of the Northern Ireland deal. Brandon Lewis, the UK secretary of State for INY, admitted on Thursday that the UK’s plan to unilaterally implement its own rule.

The European Union told UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson on Thursday to scrap (Ro.: a arunca, a da la gunoi) his plan to overwrite the Brexit deal he signed last year or face legal action.

In case he does not, the EU would “not be shy” to use legal action, the bloc said in a statement.

The government of the UK has admitted that its plan would break international law. Simultaneously Maros Sefcovic , a European Commission Vice President told the UK Minister Michael Gove that the plan was “*an extremely serious notation*” of law that had “seriously damaged trust”[13]

The Language of Brexit Negotiators

The qualitative characteristics of the Language of Negotiation is rendered by its participants both the politicians and organizations. The negotiators are the EU and the UK with Boris Johnson as an active participant of the main protocol. These unfitted words suit the lexicon of negotiation.

1. to rip up (Ro.: a taia, a despica)
2. to scrap (Ro.: a ameninta)
3. to sue (Ro.: a da in judecata)

All these expressions are capsulated in a single sentence where the EU is represented by the European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen.

The main tone and attitude is rendered in the sentence: “*The EU threatens to sue the UK unless Boris Johnson scraps his plan to trip the Brexit deal*”[12]

Another group of negative words are concentrated in the next two sentences

5. “not be shy”
6. to use legal action or to fare legal action
7. to break international law

Among the negatives there are other language units utilized to with positive expressions as in the sentence “*The UK has admitted that its plan would break International Law*”.

As through the grown up negotiators they could not help admitting that they violated the rule of NJ Protocol.

Let’s see the next negatives that were uttered by Maros Sefcovic to the UK Minister Michael Gove . He said that the plan was:

8. “an extremely serious violation” of law that had

9. “seriously damaged trust”
10. that the plan held emergency talks
11. Sefcovic also said that they had to unilaterally overwrite to withdrawal treaty
12. their plan caused consternation
13. He accused some participants of seeking to break international law
14. and that they admitted to unilaterally implement one’s own rules for trade across the Irish Sea
15. to be a “very specific and limited breach of internationally law”. Here is the respective sentence: “ *The move caused both consternation in the UI and in Brussels, with Members of the UK Parliament – including several in Johnson’s own Conservative Party – accusing him of seeking to break international rules*”[13]

Table 1. The distribution of parts of speech and negatives in the context No.1

No	Sentence	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		n o u n	v e r b	a d j.	a d v.	Artificles determine rs	prono un	nume ral	prepo sition	conjun ctor	interjec tion
1	EU	+									
2	threatens		+								
3	legal										
4	action	+									
5	against								+		
6	the										
7	UK	+									
8	again				+						
9	over								+		
10	Northern Ireland	+									
11	deal	+									
	Total	5	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-

Note 1: The verb “threatens”, the “legal action” as a noun, the preposition “against”, “over” and preserved the innate negativity while the adverb “again” acquired b=negative due to (vicinity) rank of closeness in the context bound with the violation of negotiation international laws.

Table 2. The distribution of parts of speech and negatives in micro-context No.2

No.	Sentence	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		n o u n	v e r b	a d j.	a d v .	articles determine rs	pron oun	nume ral	preposi tion	conjun ction	interjec tion
12	(The										
13	European										
14	Comm	+									

	ission)										
15	will		+/ a ux								
16	respon d		+								
17	to								+		
18	these					+					
19	develo pment s	+									
20	in accord ance to			+							
	Total:	2	2	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-

Continuation of Table 2

No.	Sente nce	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		n o u n	v e r b	a d j.	a d v.	articles /deter miners	pron oun	num eral	prepo sition	conju nction	interj ectio n
21	the					+					
22	legal			+							
23	means	+									
24	establi shed		+/ e d								
25	the					+					
26	statem ent	+									
27	also				+						
28	said		+								
	Total:	4	4	2	1	3	-	-	1	-	-

Note 2: The single innate word with negative meaning was preserved (will) respond, the other two like “developments” and “legal means” acquired the negative meaning as it was derived from context No.1 and the next ones: No.3. No.4-5-6-7 as all the micro-contexts condemns the violation of the negotiation law. This denotes that negative closeness influence the “next-door” neighbors due to indirect intercourse

Note 3, 4, 6, 7 are presented by the analysis depicting the behavior of negatives in tables Nos. 3-7. The analysis continues with the data described in table 3.

Note 3: The announcement it is not the first time to come to odds, the second one has enough negative to influence the first utterance, or declaration that it was strongly marked and influenced by the negative innate root of odds. As to the “Post-Brexit arrangements” it was explicitly / unmistakably (Ro.: - transparent) visible in the article by Mr.Frost (the UK’s negotiation) who obviously violated the rules.

Note 4: 1. Nouns (7) dominate in the statement, among them names of States and a city (the EU, the UK, London) and combination of words with negative meaning if considered as a

single concept (legal+ action), taken, separately it loses its negativity (“legal” as na adj + action as a noun, both carrying positive meanings).

2. The second part of speech carrying negativity is the present continuous as it follows: “is threatening”.

3. “New” as a separate adj. has a neutral meaning, but in the word combination “new legal meaning”, it is influenced by the close vicinity of “legal action” and it acquires a negative (repetitive) meaning. That is the adjective which is neutral in its origin. Imposed Brexit period it receives a negative tonality.

4. The secondary parts of speech usually convey neutral denotation/drift, but as negative meanings hold up a greater power of weight/control or influence, they may exercise a constraint or an obligation to change its drive in meaning towards negativity. That happens with the prepositions such as: “against” (the UK), “over” (differences), “on” (trading arrangements).

5. Due to lots of mistakes and uncontrolled rapidity and swiftness in signing the NI Protocol (at least) the UK negotiators who overdone it (measures) violating certain rules of this document called “Post-Brexit trading deal” consequently won a merited a poor and unfavorable name, a negative one. So, Post – Brexit arrangements sound as “to play (at) fast and loose (a umbla cu smecherii), as mass media told it outrightly (a spus-o raspicat).

6. The words and word-combinations as: threaten, legal, thus, action, against, differences, Post-Brexit, arrangements, came off, victorious) were successfully in turning their meaning (except “threatening”) into negative tenor.

7. Only one pronoun “their” succeeded to preserve its predicament or category.

Note 5: The states’ name like “*the UK, the Irish Sea, the Northern Ireland*” may be considered as three lexical units. The frequency of “the” doesn’t influence the grade of word power (at least in this portion of research).

1. Out of 23 words (and word-combinations) the dominant position is played by the nouns – 10 units. Among them two words “*agreed*” and “*to conduct*” (checks) maintain positive tenor (attitude). The others are neutral.

2. There are no inherited negative words.

3. Still the negativity appears in the process to arranging things, arising a lot of questions. Many things that had to be introduced in the “*withdrawal trade-deal*” occurred for the first time in the history of negotiations. Due to the geographical position and due to the desire of the UK to exit the EU (etc) the departure of the Northern Ireland constituted a separate approach: the issues are: to leave the EU and partially to remain in the EU.

4. The process of repetition reminds the reader that some actions are vociferated purposefully –whether to highlight a whole range of negative announcements or a great number of positive disclosures or statements.

Repetition as a literary term can be used both constructively and destructively. The constructive usage encompasses functions such as, pulling emphasis on a point, confirming a fact or an idea, cohesion, etc. The same literary device when used destructively can disintegrate the entire piece of writing. Redundancy, fragmentation, habitual misuse of repetition are among the destructive / negative effects. In the sentence “... moving across the

Irish Sea going from Scotland, Wales and England to Northern Ireland” carries a destructive/negative force. The beauty of using figurative language is that the pattern it arranges the word into is nothing like (Ro.: nu seaman cu) or ordinary speech. It is not only stylistically appealing but also helps convey the message in much more engaging and notably way. The aura that is created by the usage of repetition cannot be achieved through any other device. (Ro.: figura de stil).

Being aware of the Pro-and-Post-Brexit developments (where the trade –deal is in the centre of negotiation) the power of repetition in the text “EU threatens legal action against the UK – again-over Northern Ireland deal” is able of making a simple sentence sound like a dramatic one. It enhances the complexity of the sentence and stresses on the point of main significance. Repetition often uses word associations to express the idea and emotions in an indirect manner. The utility of reading a piece with repetition in it is the balance where we, the readers have to think over such associations and understand the underlying meanings. Not only the innate words and phrases can determine their power of influence but also other means as repetition (stylistic device etc. can demonstrate the power of changing of word meaning.

Note 6: To avoid hard border 1) the context(s) outline that a “hard border with North Ireland may restart a new war if the border Agreement is not observed. The violation of border between two parts created a negative environment conveying (a negative) tension.

The distribution of parts of speech in POST-BREXIT Negotiation

article	adj	noun	Verb/aux	verb	prep	pron	noun	adj
The	European	Commission	will	respond	to	these	deve- lopments	In accordance to
article	adj	noun	verb	article	noun	adv	verb	
the	legal	means	established	the	state- ment	also	said	

1. There were identified 4 nouns (commission, developments, means, statetemnt). Nouns have the highest frequency in a negotiation
2. There were also identified 3 verbs (established, said, respond). They were presumed on the second place.
3. Three adjectives were **implied in** the second statement (European, in accordance to (with), legal)
4. It was distributed only one adverb (also), three articles, 1 pronoun and 1 preposition and 0 evidence of numeral, conjunction and interjection.
5. The verb “respond” with necessitate to be explained appealing to one of its connotation (officially requires the answer denoting a positive meaning such as (legal means).
6. There was also identified a word with negative meaning encapsulated in “developments”. The latter should be understood as “evolution”, “escalation” which echoes with “will respond’ from the first part of the sentence. The words “developments” and “respond” correspond the modern lexicon in negotiation.

There were identified 4 nouns: (developments, commission, statement), 4 verbs (established, will respond, said), 3 adjectives (legal, European, in accordance to), 3 articles

(the, the, the), one pronoun, one adverb and one preposition. The negative connotation is rendered by “will respond” and “developments”.

POST-BREXIT Negotiation Consequences. The Power of Words in the context of Negotiation The Withdrawal Trade-Deal Protocol

The negative power of the noun developments the verb will respond as well as the words legal means adjective in accordance to an adverb also makes the frame of mind, spirit and tenor of the context dominant. It requires adequate wording used in the negotiation document. It was figured out by the author (journalist) of the document.

The conclusion: 1. The negative (power) meaning of words and expressions dominate the context (text); 2. the words or a short negative sentence as: *“Have you heard the latest about prime and what she said about it? May determine the whole tenor/drift”* or intention of the story prolongation and perpetuation; 3. positive words carry less information. The investigation confirms 50% of negative words in the English language, 30% of positive and 20% of neutral.

In the previous research on “Brexit withdrawal’ we had put in picture the new lexicon that emerged in the English Lexicon since 23 June, 2016, the referendum day. It had passed four years to determining the citizens’ choice to remain or to leave (the EU). Some readers use the famous expression: **“to be or not to be”**.

See the articles: 1) Brexit trade deal “the end of ugly division”, Reuters, Dec., 26

2) UK and EU confirm last-minute Brexit trade deal: Merry Brexmas (Christmas): Telegraph, Dec.24

We tried to demonstrate in what context there appeared certain neologisms as (Brexit, Remainer, Leaver, Grexit, Trexit.....), who were the authors of the new words, how difficult the negotiations were provided, how the members of the parties have managed to convince the citizens to reject or to accept the Withdrawal Protocol....etc. Meanwhile the pages of National articles and not only, have flooded the Internet with words and expressions rendering different emotions. The torrent of the words with new meaning reached more than 5000. Negatives became the dominant lexicon, while the positives rarely occurred, and the neutral lexicon with the rarest occurrence almost disappeared until December 2020, when both sides were in a hurry to sign the Exit Deal. In consequence many things there were slipped out, escaped the negotiators’ attention as they were in a quickness/rapidity to survive a wreck.

Important decision **“Withdrawal Protocol”** have carried out in a great velocity because of deadline and pandemia.

All the unfinished issues, mistaken solutions, erroneous, unjust, unfair, unlawful, wrong course occurred due to miscalculation of time. Historical decisions should be thought in itemization, particularity, otherwise the predicament and misfortune come into sight.

We have analyzed seven fragments taken from the article *“Brexit tension: EU threatens legal action against the UK.”* On the horizontal line were indicated the parts of speech the words on the vertical all the words from the sentence introduced depicting the corresponding parts of the speech.

So to conclude the scope was to identify all words with the corresponding grammar index to determining the power of words with their correlative mark/sign: positive (P), negative (N), and neutral / median (M). It was identified:

1. 10 words and expressions,
2. 2 words with real negative meaning: threaten, legal action
3. 2 words with acquired negative indirect connotations due to power context, of negative words functioning in a negative immediate proximity or context against (negative action), against (the repetition of negative action)
4. there were identified 5 nouns, 1 verb, 1 adjective, 1 article/determiner
5. 2 prepositions: against, over, the former, prep. acquires in direct negative connotation
6. there weren't identified pronouns, numerals, conjunctions, nor, interjections
7. the adj. "legal", with positive meaning (Ro.: *legitim, legitimitate* and Engl: *legal,lawful*) and the noun "**action**" carries also the positive meaning, taken together perform a unique transformation into expression with negative meaning (positive meaning=negative meaning, i.e. legal + action in a negative environment acquires negative signification
8. the dominant word power is concentrated over 2 units: a verb and a noun phrase
9. the adverb "again" with the meaning 1. to do or to say (over) again
 1. to do or to say (over) again;
 2. to repeat or reiterate (for many times, to repeat for several times (Ro.: *a repeta, a repeta de mai multe ori, a se repeta*)
10. the rest of words as EU, the UK, over, Northern Ireland, deal function as neutral lexical units.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. AVRAM, M.: *Anglicismele în limba română actuală*, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1997. ISSN: 2393-1140
2. CORLĂTEANU, N.; *Încadrarea lingvistică în realitățile europene*, Ch.: Editura ASEM, 2001. IBN: 2020-12-21 18:33
3. DINU, M.; *Comunicarea*. Editura Științifică, București, 1997. 362p. ISBN: 973-44-0223-4
4. FLEW, A.; *Dicționar de filozofie și logică*, Toronto, HUMANITAS, 1984. 394 P. ISBN 973-28-0966-3
5. FOX J.; Brexit: 200,000 construction jobs at risk if UK losses EU single market access [Elec-tronic Resource] Independent. – March 16, 2017 www.independent.co.uk/news/business/brexit-latest-news-construction-jobs-200000/html
6. RUGA, Ecaterina, COVALIOVA, Olga. The impact of conscious emotional states on language learning. In: Probleme ale științelor socioumanistice și modernizării învățământului: conf. șt. intern. aniversară "80 de ani ai Universității Pedagogice de Stat „Ion Creangă” din Chișinău”; 8-9 octombrie, 2020, Seria 22; Tipogr. UPS "Ion Creangă", Chișinău, 2020, Vol. 4. pp. 73-77. ISBN 978-9975-46-449-9. ISBN 978-9975-46-453-6 (Vol.4) 978-9975-46-453-6
7. PRUTIANU, Șt. *Comunicare și negociere în afaceri*. Polirom, Iași, 1998. 254p. ISBN: 973-683-456-5

8. RUGA, E.; HIOARĂ, N.; *Autorii neologisemelor în situația social-economică post – Brexit*. În: conferința științifică națională: Probleme de filologie: aspecte teoretice și practice (Ediția III) 9 decembrie 2016, Bălți, 2017. 409p. ISBN 978-9975-9904-9-3
9. RUGA, E.; HIOARĂ, N.; *Modern socio-economic language and communication (Brexit Topic)* În: Studia Linguistica, Institutum Philologiae XI 2017. 224p. pp.154-165 ISSN 2411-1562
10. STOICHIȚOIUI- ICHIM, A.. *Vocabularul limbii române actuale*, București, Editura BIG ALL, 2007. 233 p. ISSN: 2065-3247
11. *Latest Brexit news like as Boris Johnson agrees deal* În: Independent 2021. www.independent.co.uk Friday, April 2021 www.independent.co.uk/topic/brexit *Brexit - latest news, breaking stories and comment* (vizitat martie 2021)
12. www.newsnow.co.uk (vizitat aprilie 2021)
13. <http://europe.newsweek.com/> (vizitat martie 2021)

THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF ATTRITION IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE EDUCATION

ASPECTE TEORETICO-PRAXIOLOGICE ALE UZURII ÎN LIMBĂ ÎN ÎNVĂȚAREA LIMBILOR STRĂINE

*Lilia Petriciuc, lector univ.
UPS „Ion Creangă” din Chișinău
Lilia Petriciuc, university lecturer,
SPU “Ion Creangă”, Chisinau*

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5984-3641>

CZU 811.1/2:378.147

Abstract

The present contribution aims at popularizing foreign language attrition by providing a brief overview of what it entails with particular focus on how it may affect language development. The synthesis is based on seminal articles in the field and several hypotheses are discussed as well as the pedagogical implications of the phenomenon, highlighting potential strategies that would lead to language maintenance and development. The conceptual clarifications based on well-grounded theoretical frameworks may serve as guidelines for teachers, course and curricula authors to make their efforts in designing foreign language programs more worthwhile.

Key-words: attrition, hypotheses, circumstances, strategies, language proficiency development, language loss, language maintenance.

Rezumat

Articolul vizează popularizarea conceptului de uzură în limbă străină precizând diverse aspecte ale acestuia și modul în care afectează dezvoltarea competenței de comunicare. Sunt analizate câteva ipoteze precum și implicațiile pedagogice ale fenomenului și se propun strategii didactice care ar asigura menținerea /ameliorarea nivelului de competență de comunicare într-o limbă străină. Clarificările conceptuale în baza de cadre teoretice temeinice ar putea servi drept ghid profesorilor și conectorilor de piese curriculare și programe lingvistice pentru a optimiza procesul de învățare eficientă a unei limbi străine.

Cuvinte-cheie: uitare, ipoteze, condiții, strategii, dezvoltarea competenței de comunicare, abilitati, intretinere

Introduction

Traditionally, language development has been perceived in terms of acquisitions meant to mark the improvement of language receptive and productive skills. However, the opposite